Review

Effects of Physical Exercise on Telomere Length in Healthy Adults: Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Meta-Regression

Juan Luis Sánchez-González^{1*}, PhD; Juan Luis Sánchez-Rodríguez^{2*}, PhD; Sergio Varela-Rodríguez^{1*}, PhD; Rogelio González-Sarmiento^{3*}, PhD; Cristina Rivera-Picón^{4*}, PhD; Raúl Juárez-Vela^{5*}, PhD; Clara Isabel Tejada-Garrido^{5*}, PhD; Javier Martín-Vallejo^{6*}, PhD; Víctor Navarro-López^{7*}, MSc

¹Faculty of Nursing and Physiotherapy, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

⁵Nursing Department, Faculty of Heatlh Sciences, University of La Rioja, Logroño, Spain

⁷Department of Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Rehabilitation and Physical Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Madrid, Spain

^{*}all authors contributed equally

Corresponding Author:

Clara Isabel Tejada-Garrido, PhD Nursing Department Faculty of Heatlh Sciences University of La Rioja C/Duquesa de la Victoria 88 Logroño, 26006 Spain Phone: 34 941 299 062 Email: clara-isabel.tejada@unirioja.es

Abstract

Background: Physical exercise is one of the main nonpharmacological treatments for most pathologies. In addition, physical exercise is beneficial in the prevention of various diseases. The impact of physical exercise has been widely studied; however, existing meta-analyses have included diverse and heterogeneous samples. Therefore, to our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to evaluate the impact of different physical exercise modalities on telomere length in healthy populations.

Objective: In this review, we aimed to determine the effect of physical exercise on telomere length in a healthy population through a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.

Methods: A systematic review with meta-analysis and meta-regression of the published literature on the impact of physical exercise on telomere length in a healthy population was performed. PubMed, Cochrane Library, SCOPUS, Web of Science, and Embase databases were searched for eligible studies. Methodological quality was evaluated using the Risk Of Bias In Nonrandomized Studies of Interventions and the risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials. Finally, the certainty of our findings (closeness of the estimated effect to the true effect) was evaluated using Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluations (GRADE).

Results: We included 9 trials that met the inclusion criteria with fair methodological quality. Random-effects model analysis was used to quantify the difference in telomere length between the exercise and sham groups. Meta-analysis showed that exercise did not significantly increase telomere length compared with the control intervention (mean difference=0.0058, 95% CI –0.05 to 0.06; P=.83). Subgroup analysis suggested that high-intensity interventional exercise significantly increased telomere length compared with the control intervention (mean difference=0.15, 95% CI 0.03-0.26; P=.01). Furthermore, 56% of the studies had a high risk of bias. Certainty was graded from low to very low for most of the outcomes.

²Department of Basic Psychology, Psychobiology and Methodology, Faculty of Psychology, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

³Molecular Medicine Unit, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Salamanca, University of Salamanca-Sanidad de Castilla y León - Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Salamanca, Spain

⁴Faculty of Health Sciences, Nursing, Pontifical University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

⁶Departament of Stadístics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

Conclusions: The findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis suggest that high-intensity interval training seems to have a positive effect on telomere length compared with other types of exercise such as resistance training or aerobic exercise in a healthy population.

Trial Registration: PROSPERO CRD42022364518; http://tinyurl.com/4fwb85ff

(JMIR Public Health Surveill 2024;10:e46019) doi: 10.2196/46019

KEYWORDS

meta-analysis; aging; exercise; older; telomere length

Introduction

Background

Telomeres are nucleoprotein structures located at the ends of eukaryotic chromosomes and are of critical importance both in the maintenance of genomic stability and in the processes of tumor suppression and aging [1]. In most eukaryotic cells, telomeres consist of tandem repeats of a guanine-rich sequence (TTAAGGG) that develop at the end of chromosomes in the 5' to 3' direction, with a complementary cytidine-rich chain [2]. Telomeric sequences may vary between species; however, every organism possesses the same repetitive sequence for all telomeres. At birth, the telomeres of human somatic cells contain approximately 15 kilobases. In the absence of telomerase, an average of 25 to 200 bases are lost from the telomeric ends at each cell division [3]; when the length of the telomere reaches below a critical limit, cell division ceases, and the cell ages and dies [4]. The main function of telomeres is to protect the ends of chromosomes and prevent their degradation and fusion while maintaining genomic stability [5,6].

Several studies have suggested that short telomere length is associated with progressive acceleration of aging, including an increase in age-related diseases such as osteoporosis, cancer, and dementia [7-9]. Therefore, it seems evident that controlling telomere length could be a key factor in the aging process and health care.

Regular physical exercise is one of the main nonpharmacological strategies used to prevent the onset of age-related diseases. Physical exercise is defined as planned, structured, repetitive, and purposeful physical activity, that is, for the improvement or maintenance of one or more components of physical fitness [10]. Werner et al [11] observed that endurance athletes have a larger telomere size than inactive controls. Moreover, physically active middle-aged twins have longer telomeres than inactive siblings [12]. Therefore, it seems clear that regular physical exercise is essential for healthy aging and supporting positive mental health. It can help delay, prevent, or manage many costly and difficult chronic diseases faced by older adults [13]. It can also reduce the risk of premature death and moderate or severe functional limitations in older adults [14].

However, few prospective studies have evaluated the effect of physical exercise on telomere length. In addition, these studies had large methodological differences, such as heterogeneous samples, different physical exercise modalities, and varied time and duration of the interventions. To date, only one meta-analysis [15] has studied the relationship between different physical exercise modalities and telomere length; however, the populations analyzed in the qualitative and quantitative analyses were heterogeneous. Therefore, the results should be cautiously interpreted.

Objective

This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to study the impact of different physical exercise modalities on telomere length in prospective studies (clinical trials and randomized controlled trials [RCTs]) in which the study sample comprised a healthy population without any type of pathology.

Methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; Multimedia Appendix 1) [16].

Literature Search

To identify relevant studies on the impact of physical exercise on telomere length, we conducted a systematic literature search using the following English-language databases (until September 2022): PubMed, Web of Science, SCOPUS, Embase, and Cochrane Library. The search was performed independently by 2 researchers (JLSG and VNL). The search strategy used to identify all potential studies using the following terms is detailed in Multimedia Appendix 2 [17-25].

We also manually searched the references cited in selected articles or reviews to identify relevant studies.

Study Selection

We used the Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, Time, and Study design as a framework to formulate eligibility criteria (Textboxes 1 and 2) [26].



Textbox 1. Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, Time, and Study design framework.

- Population: healthy adults with no neurological disease
- Intervention: interventions with exercise as the main focus were selected
- Compare: control group not performing physical exercise
- Outcomes: telomere length was assessed using both peripheral blood and saliva samples
- Time: no temporal restrictions were applied to the duration of the intervention or outcome measures
- Studies: only randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and controlled trials were included

Textbox 2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria

- Article type
- Randomized controlled trials or controlled trials
- Language
- English
- Population
- Healthy population
- Type of intervention
- Aerobic exercise, resistance training, or high-intensity interval training
- Outcome
- Measurement of telomere size by peripheral blood or saliva collection

Exclusion criteria

- Article type
- Case studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses
- Language
- Spanish, Chinese, French
- Population
- Population with neoplastic processes, neurodegenerative diseases, and cognitive alterations
- Type of intervention
- Any other type of nonexercise intervention
- Outcome
- Any other type of measure that purports to measure aging but is not telomeric length

Data Extraction

Two investigators (JLSG and VNL) independently extracted data. A standardized methodology was used to obtain data from studies that met the inclusion criteria. Data were obtained for the first author, year of publication, design, patient characteristics, intervention protocol and timing, study outcomes (telomere size before and after intervention), and the telomere size calculation technique. In addition, the means and SDs of the study results were obtained. The authors of the included studies were contacted via email to access potentially unclear data. If no responses were received, the data were excluded from the analysis.

Interrater Reliability

Interrater reliability for screening, risk of bias assessment, and quality of the evidence rating were assessed using percentage agreement and Cohen κ coefficient [27,28]. There was strong agreement between the reviewers for the screening records and full texts (98.51% agreement rate and κ =0.91) and the risk of bias assessment (92.86% agreement rate and κ =0.83).

Risk of Bias and Assessment Methodological Quality of the Studies

Two reviewers independently assessed the risk of bias of the included studies (SVR and VNL).

The risk of bias in nonrandomized studies of interventions (NRSIs) was assessed using the Risk Of Bias In Nonrandomized

Studies of Interventions (ROBINS-I) [29]. This tool assesses the risk of bias in NRSI results. The types of NRSIs that can be assessed with this tool are quantitative studies that estimate the efficacy (harm or benefit) of an intervention and did not use randomization to assign units (individuals or groups of individuals) to comparison groups. ROBINS-I considers 6 domains: randomization process (D1), bias arising from period and carryover effects (DS), deviations from the intended interventions (D3), missing outcome data (D4), and selection of the reported result (D5).

In contrast, a revised tool was used to assess the risk of bias in randomized clinical trials (risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials; RoB2) [30]. The tool was structured into 5 domains through which bias could be introduced into the outcome. These were identified on the basis of empirical evidence and theoretical considerations. Because the domains cover all types of bias that may affect the results of randomized trials, each domain is mandatory; and no additional domains should be added. The 5 domains for individual randomized trials (including crossover trials) were bias arising from the randomization process (D1), bias due to deviations from intended interventions (D2), bias due to missing outcome data (D3), bias in measurement of the outcome (D4), and bias in selection of the reported result (D5) [31,32].

Overall Quality of Evidence

The overall quality of evidence was based on classifying the results into levels of evidence according to the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE), which is based on five domains: (1) study design, (2) imprecision, (3) indirect, (4) inconsistency, and (5) publication bias.

Evidence was categorized into the following four levels accordingly: (1) high quality: further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect, and all 5 domains are also met; (2) moderate quality: further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence and might change the estimate of effect, and 1 of the 5 domains is not met; (3) low quality: further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence and is likely to have an important impact on our confidence and is likely to have an important impact on our confidence and is likely to change the estimate of effect, and 2 of the 5 domains are not met; and (4) very low quality: any estimate of effect is very uncertain, and 3 of the 5 domains are not met [31,32].

Statistical Analysis

Mean differences (MDs) after the intervention were used to compare values between the exercise and control groups, with a 95% CI. To obtain the effect size, the MD between the groups was converted to the standardized MD with a 95% CI. Statistical significance was set at P<.05. The individual effect size of each study and calculation of the overall effect size are presented as forest plots.

The restricted maximum likelihood method estimated the variance of between-study heterogeneity; the presence of between-study heterogeneity was assessed with the Cochran Q statistic test (with P < .05 considered significant) and the degree of heterogeneity with the inconsistency index (I^2) [33]. An I^2 value between 0% and 25% was considered to represent small heterogeneity, between 25% and 75% medium heterogeneity, and >75% large heterogeneity [34]. I^2 complements the Q test, although it has the same power problems when the number of studies is small [34]. When the Q test was significant ($P \le .10$) and the I^2 result was >25%, indicating heterogeneity between studies, the random-effects model was applied in the meta-analysis. When heterogeneity was >25% according to the I^2 statistic, outliers (studies whose 95% CI cutoff was lower and greater than the pooled 95% CI upper and lower cutoff) and influential case analysis were performed using the analysis according to the graph of Baujat et al [35] (graph showing the contribution of each study to the overall heterogeneity compared with its contribution to the overall pooled result). The identified studies were flagged as outliers or influential cases and were removed. A subgroup analysis was performed according to the type of exercise used (resistance training, aerobic exercise, or high-intensity interval training [HIIT]). An a priori meta-regression analysis was performed on the variables of exercise intensity and duration, as well as the year of publication and methodological quality, to evaluate whether these variables influenced the overall effect size.

Skewness was assessed using a contour-enhanced funnel plot in analyses consisting of at least 5 studies, indicating the possible publication bias of small studies small studies with negative results. In the absence of publication bias, the plot resembled a symmetrical funnel-shape.

Studies were analyzed with R software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) [36], using the Metafor package [37] as detailed by Harrer et al [38], and with the computer software Review Manager (version 4.1; The Cochrane Collaboration).

Results

Study Selection and Characteristics

The search found 3102 records, of which 1612 were duplicates and 1490 were screened by title and abstract. We found 30 studies that were potentially relevant and excluded 21 studies after screening their full reports. Finally, 9 studies met the eligibility criteria and were included in the qualitative analysis, and 7 studies, which included 1320 participants, were included in the quantitative analysis. The entire screening process is shown in the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) flow diagram in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Flow diagram.



Qualitative Summary of the Included Studies

All 9 studies were intervention studies (RCTs or controlled trials) and were of fair to good methodological quality according to the ROBINS-I or RoB2 scale (Figure 2 [17-20,22-25]). These studies were conducted in Germany [17,18], Canada [19], the United States [20], the United Kingdom [21], Iran [22], Brazil [23], Spain [24] and South Korea [25]. A total of 1320 participants were included, including both men and women, with the latter being represented to a greater extent (98%).

Regarding the type of exercise, 4 studies performed resistance training [17,18,21,25], 4 used aerobic exercises [17,19,20,23], 3 used HIIT [17,22,23], and 1 used combined training (aerobic plus resistance training) [24]. Regarding protocol duration, 1 study conducted a 2-week intervention [22], 1 study conducted a 4-week intervention [23], 4 studies conducted a 6-week intervention [17,18,24,25], and 3 studies conducted a 12-week intervention [19-21]. The intensity information for each protocol is presented in Table 1.



Figure 2. Risk of bias.







Sánchez-González et al

Table 1. Participant characteristics.

Study	Design	ign Group: sample size; age (y), mean (SD)			Sample size, n	Protocol intervention		Duration (wk)	Laboratory techniques and procedures	Quality score (PE- Dro ^a)	
		Ma	le	Fer	nale						
Noori- mofrad and Ebrahim [22], 2018	RCT ^b	•	G1: 15; 19.7 (1.1) G2: 15; 20.13 (0.64)	•	G1: 0 G2: 0	30	•	G1: HIIT ^C . 6-10 laps of HIIT 30 s at an in- tensity of 150%- 175% of Pmax. G2: control group	8	PCR ^d . The DNA from these cells was extracted using standard salting out- pro- teinase K method. The con- centration and quality of the extracted DNA were exam- ined using NanoDrop at wavelengths of 260 and 280 nm, and the ratio of the 2 wavelengths was used. Two PCR reactions were per- formed for each sample, the first reaction for telomeric DNA fragment and the sec- ond for its control gene, acid ribosomal phosphoprotein. DNA telomeric length was calculated based on the ratio of telomere to control gene.	4/11
Ribeiro et al [23], 2021	RCT	•	G1: 0 G2: 0 G3: 0	•	G1: 30; 28.50 (5.76) G2: 28; 29.14 (5.26) G3: 29; 28.97 (4.32)	87	•	G1: control group G2: continuous aero- bic physical training. Treadmill training, 3 times a week for 16 weeks, from 30 min in the first week to 50 min in the last week. G3: intermittent aero- bic physical training. Treadmill training, 3 times a week for 16 weeks, from 30 min in the first week to 50 min in the last week	16	PCR. DNA integrity was accessed by agarose gel stained with GelRead, and the concentration was deter- mined using the NanoDrop 2000c spectrophotometer. Telomere length was deter- mined by calculating the telomere to single-copy gene ratio using DCt ^e (Ct[telom- ere]/Ct[single gene]). The telomere length was ex- pressed as the relative T/S ^f ratio, normalized to the mean of the T/S ratio of the reference sample	6/11
Shin et al [25], 2008	RCT	•	G1: 8; (46.8 (6.4) G2: 8; 46.8 (6.4) G3: 0	•	G1: 0 G2: 0 G3: 15; 74.91 (5.45)	31	•	G1: aerobic exercise. 3 d/wk. 10 min warm-up, 45-min treadmill walk/run at 60% VO2max ^g , 5 min cool-down. G2: did not partici- pate in any form of regular exercise. G3: control group	24	PCR. The final telomere oligo-primer concentration were tel1, 270 nM; tel 2, 900 nM. The final 36B4 (single copy gene oligo-primer concentrations were 36B4u, 300 nM; 36B4d, 500 nM. Relative T/S values were determined by sample T/S values compared with refer- ence DNA T/S values	5/11
Werner et al [17], 2019	RCT	• •	G1: 12; 50.2 (7.4) G2: 9; 49.5 (7) G3: 10; 48.4 (6.5) G4: 14; 48.1 (7.5)	•	G1: 23; 50.2 (7.4) G2: 17; 49.5 (7) G3: 19; 48.4 (6.5) G4: 20; 48.1 (7.5)	114	•	G1: control group G2: aerobic en- durance training. 3 d/wk, 45-min session G3: interval training. 3 d/wk, 45-min ses- sion G4: resistance train- ing. 3 d/wk, 45-min session	24	PCR. DNA concentrations were quantified photometri- cally to ensure sufficient quantity and purity. PCR data were exported to Mi- crosoft Excel, formatted, and analyzed with the com- parative Ct method (2-ΔΔCt) to calculate T/S ratios and thereby relative differences in the amount of telomere repeat DNA between the in- dividual pre- vs poststudy time points	5/11

XSL•FO RenderX

Sánchez-González et al

Study	Design	Group: sample size; age (y), mean (SD)		Sample Protocol intervention size, n		Duration (wk)	Laboratory techniques and procedures	Quality score (PE- Dro ^a)	
		Male	Female						
Sánchez- González et al [24], 2021	RCT	 G1: 0 G2: 0 G3: 9; 49.5 (7) G4: 10; 48.4 (6.5) G5: 14; 48.1 (7.5) 	 G1: 41; 72.70 (4.13) G2: 33; 71.21 (4.32) G3: 17; 49.5 (7) G4: 19; 48.4 (6.5) G5: 20; 48.1 (7.5) 	74	 G1: aerobic+resistance training. 3 d/wk. G2: control group G3: aerobic endurance training. 3 d/wk, 45-min session G4: interval training. 3 d/wk, 45-min session G5: resistance training. 3 d/wk, 45-min session 	24	PCR. DNA was determined by measuring the ab- sorbance at 260 nm using a NanoDropTM 2000/2001 spectrophotometer. The puri- ty of the DNA was analyzed based on the A260/280 ab- sorbency ratio, where an optimal purity ratio ranged between 1.8 and 2.0. The Ct comparative method was used to calculate the relative expression levels of each amplicon	4/11	
Eigendorf et al [18], 2019	RCT	• G1: 0 • G2: 0	 G1: 146; 53.0 (4.9) G2: 145; 52.8 (4.7) 	291	 G1: resistance training. 210 min of resistance training per week for 6 months G2: control group 	24	PCR. For assessment of telomere length, genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood mononucle- ar cells using QIA amp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Telomere length was calculated as abundance of telomeric template vs a single copy gene (36B4)	7/11	
Nickels et al [21], 2022	CT ^h	 G1: 0 G2: 0 	 G1: 11; 50.8 (7.5) G2: 11; 49.3 (6.1) 	22	 G1: pilates training. Minimum of 2 sessions of 1 h for 12 months. G2: control group 	52	PCR. Whole blood was uti- lized as the starting material for DNA extraction and the concentration and purity were evaluated by spec- trophotometry. Intra-assay coefficient of variation for calculated T/S ratio was 4.6%. Interassay coefficient of variation for calculated T/S ratio was 2.8%	4/11	
Mason et al [20], 2013	RCT	 G1: 0 G2: 0 G3: 0 G4: 0 	 G1: 87; 58 G2: 118; 58 G3: 117; 58 G4: 117; 58 	439	 G1: did not receive intervention G2: calorie-reduced diet G3: aerobic exercise. 45-min moderate to vigorous (≥4 METsⁱ) exercise at heart rate of 70%-85%, 5 d/wk. G4: aerobic exercise (45-min moderate to vigorous [≥4 METs] exercise at heart rate of 70%-85%, 5 d/wk)+calorie-reduced diet 	52	PCR. The DNA from these cells was extracted using standard salting out-pro- teinase K method. The con- centration and quality of the extracted DNA were exam- ined using Nano drop at wavelengths of 260 and 280 nm, and the ratio of the 2 wavelengths were used. Two PCR reactions were per- formed for each sample, the first reaction for telomeric DNA fragment and the sec- ond for its control gene, acid ribosomal phosphoprotein. DNA telomeric length was calculated based on the ratio of telomere to control gene	4/11	
Friedenre- ich et al [19], 2019	RCT	 G1: 0 G2: 0 	 G1: 99; 60.4 G2: 113; 60 	212		52		8/11	

Study	Design	Group: sam mean (SD)	oup: sample size; age (y), an (SD)		Protocol intervention	Duration (wk)	Laboratory techniques and procedures	Quality score (PE Dro ^a)
		Male	Female					
					 G1: aerobic exercise ≥45 min for 5 d/wk (supervised 3 d/wk by ALPHA^j Trial exer- cise trainers+unsuper- vised 2 d/wk) Sedentary individuals (exercise <90 min/wk or 90–120 min/wk maximum) 		PCR Sample reactions were set up in triplicate using the EpMotion 5075 (Eppendorf, United States), containing 20 ng of template DNA, Power SYBR Green PCR	

^aPEDro: Physiotherapy Evidence Database.

^bRCT: randomized controlled trial.

^cHIIT: high-intensity interval training.

^dPCR: polymerase chain reaction.

^eDCt: delta cycle threshold.

^fT/S: telomere/single gene.

^gVO2max: Volume of Oxygen Maximum.

^hCT: controlled trial.

ⁱMET: metabolic equivalents.

^jALPHA: Alberta Physical Activity and Breast Cancer Prevention.

Risk of Bias

Owing to the design of the included studies, 8 were analyzed using the RoB2, and 1 study was analyzed using the ROBINS-I. As assessed by the RoB2 and ROBINS-I, 56% (5/8) of the studies showed a high risk of bias, 33% (2/8) showed some concerns, and 11% (1/8) showed a low risk of bias. The item with the highest risk of bias was "deviations from the intended interventions" in which 45% (3/8) of the studies showed a high risk of bias, and the item "missing data" had 33% (2/8) of the studies that showed a high risk of bias in therapist blinding (Figure 2).

Effects of Exercise on Telomere Length

The meta-analysis showed that overall, exercise did not produce a significant increase in telomere length compared with that of the control groups, which did not exercise (MD 0.02, 95% CI -0.10 to 0.13; P=.77; N=1058; Figure 3 [17-25]). The restricted maximum likelihood method estimated a between-study heterogeneity variance of $\tau^2=0.0034$ and an I^2 value of 70%, indicating significant heterogeneity among the studies included in the analysis (P<.01). When performing an analysis of influential cases in the heterogeneity and outlier studies (random-effects model), we detected 2 influential cases (Figures 4 and 5) [17-25]: the study by Sánchez-González et al [24] (which was also considered an outlier) and the study by Friedenreich et al [19]. Excluding the influential cases from the meta-analysis resulted in reduced heterogeneity between studies (23%) and did not affect the results of the meta-analysis (Table 2).

The subgroup analysis according to the type of exercise showed significant differences between the groups (P=.05). Resistance training (MD –0.02, 95% CI –0.01 to 0.05; P=.54; I^2 =16%) and aerobic exercise (MD –0.01, 95% CI –0.0 to 0.06; P=.64; I^2 =0%) groups showed no significant differences compared with the control group, but the HIIT group showed significant differences compared with the control group, with a greater telomere length observed in the HIIT group (MD 0.15, 95% CI 0.03-0.26; P=.01; I^2 =0%; Figure 6 [17,18,20-23,25]).

Meta-regression analysis showed no relationship between exercise intensity and duration, year of publication, and methodological quality of the included studies (P<.05).



Figure 3. Forest plot of the effect of exercise on telomere length. Forest plot of the results of a random-effects meta-analysis is shown as mean differences with 95% CI for the comparison of mean telomere length in the exercise and control groups. The shaded square represents the point estimate for the individual study and the study weight in the high-intensity group. Diamond represents the overall mean difference between studies.

Study	Experimental Total mean (SD)		Control Total mean (SD)))	Mean difference		м	D 95%-CI	Weight (%)	
Shin et al, 2008	8	7.67 1.7400	8	7.99 2.6000	l.				-0.3	2 (-2.49; 1.85)	0.1
Nickels et al, 2022	11	0.70 0.1700	11	0.81 0.1400	(-0.1	1 (-0.24; 0.02)	11.9
Friedenreich et al, 2018	99	0.87 0.2200	113	0.92 0.2800	6		10		-0.0	5 (-0.12; 0.02)	20.4
Eigendorf et al, 2019	146	1.12 0.2500	145	1.12 0.2300	6				0.0	0 (-0.06; 0.06)	22.3
Ribeiro et al, 2021A	28	1.45 0.4600	15	1.45 0.4600			+		0.0	0 (-0.29; 0.29)	3.7
Mason et al, 2013	116	1.02 0.1900	86	1.01 0.1700	6				0.0	1 (-0.04; 0.06)	23.1
Ribeiro et al, 2021B	29	1.54 0.5100	15	1.45 0.4600	1		÷		0.0	9 (-0.21; 0.39)	3.5
Noorimofrad et al, 2018	15	1.60 0.2000	15	1.45 0.1500	1		101		0.1	5 (0.02; 0.28)	12.3
Werner et al, 2019B	29	5.50 1.2000	12	5.20 0.9200	í.		+		0.3	0 (-0.38; 0.98)	0.8
Werner et al, 2019C	34	5.50 0.8000	13	5.20 0.9200	1		+		0.3	0 (-0.27; 0.87)	1.1
Werner et al, 2019A	26	5.70 1.4000	10	5.20 0.9200	í.		+		0.5	0 (-0.28; 1.28)	0.6
Sánchez-González et al, 2021	41	4.81 3.1600	33	1.81 2.3400					- 3.0	0 (1.75; 4.25)	0.2
Random-effects model	582		476				Ļ		0.0	2 [-0.10; 0.13]	100%
Prediction interval							+			[-0.16; 0.19]	
Heterogeneity: /2=70%, p<.01								1		-	
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					-4	-2	0	2	4		

Figure 4. Funnel plot.





Figure 5. Influence of pooled result.



Table 2. Meta-analysis without influential and outlier cases.

Analysis	MD ^a (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	Heterogeneity, I^2 (%)
Main analysis	0.02 (-0.10 to 0.13)	.77	70
Outliers removed ^b	0.0058 (-0.05 to 0.06)	.83	30
Influential cases removed ^c	0.02 (-0.03 to 0.07)	.50	23

^aMD: mean difference.

^bRemoved as outliers: Sánchez-González et al [24].

^cRemoved as influential studies: Sánchez-González et al [24] and Friedenreich et al [19].



Figure 6. Subgroup analysis of the effect of exercise on telomere length. Forest plot of the results of a random-effects meta-analysis shown as mean differences with 95% CI for the comparison of mean telomere length in the exercise group and the control group, performing subgroup analyses for each type of exercise included (resistance training, aerobic exercise, and high-intensity interval training). The shaded square represents the point estimate for each study and the weight of the study in the meta-analysis. Diamond represents the overall mean difference between studies.



Analysis of Publication Bias

the studies included in this analysis were not significant; therefore, publication bias was ruled out (Figure 7).

The contour-enhanced funnel plot showed asymmetry, which indicated heterogeneity among the included studies. Most of

Figure 7. Contour-enhanced funnel plot of the included studies. Dispersion of effect size. x-axis: observed effect sizes. y-axis: inverse SE (higher values on the y-axis represent lower SEs). Slight asymmetry, indicating possible publication bias. Inside to outside (0-2). White region P>.05; dark gray region P<.10; intermediate gray region P<.05; outer gray region P<.001.





Quality of Evidence

Table 3 provides the details of the GRADE assessment. Three levels of evidence were downgraded due to the serious risk of bias and high heterogeneity (inconsistency) of the results, which suggests a very small level of evidence regarding the effects of

overall physical exercise modalities on telomere length. In the subgroup analysis, inconsistency was rated as not serious for the 3 exercise modalities, and the level of evidence depended on the risk of bias, being moderate for resistance training and small for aerobic exercise and HIIT.

Table 3. Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation assessment.

Exercise modali- ty, studies, and sample size	Risk of bias ^a	Inconsistency ^b	Indirectness ^c	Imprecision ^d	Publication bias ^e	MD ^f (95% CI)	Quality of evidence
Overall							
12 trials (n=1058)	Very serious (mainly by devia- tions from intended interventions and missing data)	Serious (<i>I</i> ² =70%)	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	0.02 (-0.10 to 0.13)	Very small
Resistance training	ng						
4 trials (n=376)	Serious (mainly by deviations from in- tended interven- tions and missing data)	No serious $(l^2=16\%)$	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	-0.01 (-0.07 to 0.04)	Moderate
Aerobic exercise							
3 trials (n=281)	Very serious (mainly by devia- tions from intended interventions and missing data)	Not serious $(I^2=0\%)$	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	0.01 (-0.04 to 0.06)	Small
High-intensity in	terval training						
3 trials (n=115)	Very serious (mainly by devia- tions from intended interventions and missing data)	Not serious $(I^2=0\%)$	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	0.15 (0.03 to 0.26)	Small

^aNo: most information is from results at low risk of bias; serious: crucial limitation for one criterion or some limitations for multiple criteria sufficient to lower confidence in the estimate of effect; very serious: crucial limitation for one or more criteria sufficient to substantially lower confidence in the estimate of effect.

^bSerious: I^2 >40%; very serious: I^2 >80%.

^cNo indirectness of evidence was found in any study.

^dOn the basis of sample size. "Serious," n<250 participants; "very serious," n<250 and the estimated effect is little or absent.

^eOn the basis of funnel plots. No publication bias was found. Funnel plots are not shown because the number of trials was less than 10. ^fMD: mean difference.

Discussion

Principal Findings

This meta-analysis aimed to examine the effects of different types of exercise on telomere length in healthy individuals. To date, this is the only study to investigate the impact of different physical exercise modalities in a healthy population. Overall, 9 studies with 1320 participants were eligible; of them, 1299 (98%) were female participants and 91 (2%) were male participants. A total of 199 participants performed resistance exercises, 270 performed aerobic exercises, 73 performed HIIT, and 41 performed mixed exercises.

The pooled effect sizes across all telomere length outcomes showed that exercise did not significantly increase telomere

```
https://publichealth.jmir.org/2024/1/e46019
```

RenderX

length compared with the control conditions (Figure 3). This finding was robust, with little statistical heterogeneity between studies (I^2 =23%). Subgroup analysis suggested that HIIT was the only type of exercise that significantly increased telomere length in exercisers compared with the nonintervention group (MD 0.15, 95% CI 0.03-0.26; P=.01; I^2 =0%), with a medium effect size (standardized MD 0.41, 95% CI 0.02-0.8; P=.04; I^2 =0%). Meta-regression analyses showed that exercise intensity and duration, year of publication, and methodological quality did not influence the observed effect size. Furthermore, when we compared exercise prescription, considering intensity and duration, with LTL gain, no relationship could be established between LT and exercise intensity and duration. The 2 studies that showed the greatest improvement in LT [17,24] were not

those in which the exercise prescription was more intense and longer in duration. Similarly, the methodological quality of the studies was not related to the LT gain. The study with the highest methodological quality [19] does not show a significant correlation with LT gain. Therefore, we could not establish a causal relationship between exercise prescription, methodological quality, and LT gain.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs to investigate the effects of different physical exercise modalities on telomere length in a healthy population. A recent review by Song et al [15] concluded that aerobic exercise for ≥ 6 months had a significant effect on the rate of telomere length shortening. However, that review included studies with heterogeneous study populations (breast cancer, polycystic ovarian syndrome, or healthy individuals). This difference in results with our study is probably because in our review, we have only included healthy populations to try to better clarify the possible impact of different physical exercises on telomere length. Telomeric shortening can be accelerated by factors that induce oxidative stress and inflammation [39]; neoplastic processes [40]; psychological disorders [41]; and chronic diseases, such as diabetes or cardiovascular disease [7,42]. Therefore, it seems clear that it is necessary to study the impact of physical exercise in specific populations because of the large number of factors that can influence telomere length.

As previously discussed, our results indicate that HIIT is the type of exercise that appears to have the most beneficial effect on LT. HIIT is characterized by short intermittent bursts of vigorous exercise interspersed with periods of low-intensity recovery [43]. This type of training has sufficient evidence to show that it is a good option for improving cardiovascular health in both healthy individuals and individuals with cardiometabolic diseases [44,45]. However, according to our results, this type of physical exercise significantly increases the length of telomeres, as intense exercise causes an increase in the total oxidative state and external production of free radicals that can lead to oxidative stress [46]. Some studies have suggested that the effects of physical exercise on LT may be represented by an inverted U-shaped dose-response [47,48]. High- or low-intensity levels (too much or too little) may have deleterious effects on the immune system and produce free radicals, thereby accelerating the aging process [49].

The different methodologies used (type of exercise and intensity), time of intervention, lack of homogeneity in the populations studied, and large number of variables that can influence LT could be the cause of the differences in the results of the different studies. Therefore, it is necessary to continue investigating the role of different modalities of physical exercise on LT in different populations by having as much control as possible over the variables that can influence telomere size in RCTs.

Limitations and Recommendations for Future Studies

This study has several limitations. The main limitation was the small number of studies with a small sample size that performed a physical exercise intervention to assess telomere length compared to that of a control group. The included studies were heterogeneous in several aspects. The participants who underwent the interventions were healthy individuals of various ages, the vast majority of whom were women, and this might have influenced the results. The intervention protocol was heterogeneous and included exercise protocols of different intensities and application times (times/wk), some of which were incomplete. Heterogeneity was also present in the main outcome measures, showing disparities among the included studies both at baseline and postintervention measurements, although the methods used for assessing telomere length were the same.

Future research is recommended to evaluate the effects of high-intensity exercise interventions in various healthy age groups to evaluate the effect of these interventions in people with different pathologies and to establish the clinical relationship between the increase in telomere length and variables of clinical relevance.

Recommendations for Clinical Practice

The recommendation to incorporate regular exercise, particularly through HIIT, at least 3 times a week for a sustained period, emphasizes the commitment to the preservation of health and prevention of premature aging.

Conclusions

The findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis suggest that HIIT seems to have a positive effect on telomere length compared with other types of exercise, such as resistance training or aerobic exercise, in a healthy population. The results should be interpreted with caution because of the low quality of evidence.

Data Availability

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article and supplementary material, and further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Authors' Contributions

JLSG and JLSR designed the study, participated in the research, drafted the manuscript, and supervised the study. SVR participated in the operation and drafted the manuscript. RJV participated in the study, revised the article, and supervised the manuscript. RGS participated in the operation and revised the manuscript. CITG contributed to study design, participated in the research, and drafted the manuscript. CRP participated in the operation and drafted the manuscript. VNL and JMV participated in the operation, drafted the manuscript, collected data, and performed the analysis.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1

PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses) checklist. [DOCX File , 29 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

Multimedia Appendix 2

Search strategy. [DOCX File , 15 KB-Multimedia Appendix 2]

References

- 1. Zakian VA. Telomeres: beginning to understand the end. Science. Dec 08, 1995;270(5242):1601-1607. [doi: 10.1126/science.270.5242.1601] [Medline: 7502069]
- 2. Smith EM, Pendlebury DF, Nandakumar J. Structural biology of telomeres and telomerase. Cell Mol Life Sci. Jan 14, 2020;77(1):61-79. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s00018-019-03369-x] [Medline: 31728577]
- 3. Opresko PL, Shay JW. Telomere-associated aging disorders. Ageing Res Rev. Jan 2017;33:52-66. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2016.05.009] [Medline: 27215853]
- 4. Cascales-Angosto M, Álvarez-Gómez JA. Telómeros y telomerasa, sus implicaciones en el envejecimiento y el cáncer. An R Acad Doctores Esp. 2010;14(1):49-70. [FREE Full text]
- 5. Erdem HB, Bahsi T, Ergün MA. Function of telomere in aging and age related diseases. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol. Jul 2021;85:103641. [doi: 10.1016/j.etap.2021.103641] [Medline: <u>33774188</u>]
- Armanios M. The role of telomeres in human disease. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. Aug 31, 2022;23(1):363-381.
 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1146/annurev-genom-010422-091101] [Medline: 35609925]
- Schneider CV, Schneider KM, Teumer A, Rudolph KL, Hartmann D, Rader DJ, et al. Association of telomere length with risk of disease and mortality. JAMA Intern Med. Mar 01, 2022;182(3):291-300. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.7804] [Medline: 35040871]
- 8. Fani L, Hilal S, Sedaghat S, Broer L, Licher S, Arp PP, et al. Telomere length and the risk of Alzheimer's disease: the Rotterdam study. J Alzheimers Dis. 2020;73(2):707-714. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3233/JAD-190759] [Medline: 31839608]
- Fragkiadaki P, Nikitovic D, Kalliantasi K, Sarandi E, Thanasoula M, Stivaktakis P, et al. Telomere length and telomerase activity in osteoporosis and osteoarthritis. Exp Ther Med. Mar 24, 2020;19(3):1626-1632. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3892/etm.2019.8370] [Medline: 32104213]
- 10. Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. Public Health Rep. Mar 1985;100(2):126-131. [FREE Full text] [Medline: <u>3920711</u>]
- Werner C, Fürster T, Widmann T, Pöss J, Roggia C, Hanhoun M, et al. Physical exercise prevents cellular senescence in circulating leukocytes and in the vessel wall. Circulation. Dec 15, 2009;120(24):2438-2447. [doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.861005] [Medline: 19948976]
- 12. Mundstock E, Zatti H, Louzada FM, Oliveira SG, Guma FT, Paris MM, et al. Effects of physical activity in telomere length: systematic review and meta-analysis. Ageing Res Rev. Jul 2015;22:72-80. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.arr.2015.02.004</u>] [Medline: <u>25956165</u>]
- 13. Mora JC, Valencia WM. Exercise and older adults. Clin Geriatr Med. Feb 2018;34(1):145-162. [doi: 10.1016/j.cger.2017.08.007] [Medline: 29129214]
- Ballin M, Nordström P. Does exercise prevent major non-communicable diseases and premature mortality? A critical review based on results from randomized controlled trials. J Intern Med. Dec 02, 2021;290(6):1112-1129. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/joim.13353] [Medline: 34242442]
- 15. Song S, Lee E, Kim H. Does exercise affect telomere length? A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Medicina (Kaunas). Feb 05, 2022;58(2):242. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/medicina58020242] [Medline: 35208566]
- 16. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev. Jan 01, 2015;4(1):1. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-4-1] [Medline: 25554246]
- 17. Werner CM, Hecksteden A, Morsch A, Zundler J, Wegmann M, Kratzsch J, et al. Differential effects of endurance, interval, and resistance training on telomerase activity and telomere length in a randomized, controlled study. Eur Heart J. Jan 01, 2019;40(1):34-46. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy585] [Medline: 30496493]
- Eigendorf J, Melk A, Haufe S, Boethig D, Berliner D, Kerling A, et al. Effects of personalized endurance training on cellular age and vascular function in middle-aged sedentary women. Eur J Prev Cardiol. Nov 13, 2019;26(17):1903-1906. [doi: 10.1177/2047487319849505] [Medline: 31084260]

- Friedenreich CM, Wang Q, Ting NS, Brenner DR, Conroy SM, McIntyre JB, et al. Effect of a 12-month exercise intervention on leukocyte telomere length: results from the ALPHA trial. Cancer Epidemiol. Oct 2018;56:67-74. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.canep.2018.07.012</u>] [Medline: <u>30075329</u>]
- Mason C, Risques RA, Xiao L, Duggan CR, Imayama I, Campbell KL, et al. Independent and combined effects of dietary weight loss and exercise on leukocyte telomere length in postmenopausal women. Obesity (Silver Spring). Dec 29, 2013;21(12):E549-E554. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/oby.20509] [Medline: 23640743]
- Nickels M, Mastana S, Denniff M, Codd V, Akam E. Pilates and telomere dynamics: a 12-month longitudinal study. J Bodyw Mov Ther. Apr 2022;30:118-124. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2022.02.013] [Medline: 35500959]
- 22. Noorimofrad S, Ebrahim K. The effect of high intensity interval training on telomere length and telomerase activity in non-athlete young men. J Basic Res Med Sci. Mar 01, 2018;5(2):1-7. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.29252/jbrms.5.2.1]
- Ribeiro VB, Pedroso DC, Kogure GS, Lopes IP, Santana BA, Dutra de Souza HC, et al. Short-term aerobic exercise did not change telomere length while it reduced testosterone levels and obesity indexes in PCOS: a randomized controlled clinical trial study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. Oct 27, 2021;18(21):11274. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/ijerph182111274] [Medline: 34769797]
- 24. Sánchez-González JL, Sánchez-Rodríguez JL, Martín-Vallejo J, Martel-Martel A, González-Sarmiento R. Effects of physical exercise on cognition and telomere length in healthy older women. Brain Sci. Oct 27, 2021;11(11):1417. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/brainsci11111417] [Medline: 34827416]
- 25. Shin YA, Lee JH, Song W, Jun TW. Exercise training improves the antioxidant enzyme activity with no changes of telomere length. Mech Ageing Dev. May 2008;129(5):254-260. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.mad.2008.01.001</u>] [Medline: <u>18295822</u>]
- 26. Lira RP, Rocha EM. PICOT: Imprescriptible items in a clinical research question. Arq Bras Oftalmol. 2019;82(2):1. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.5935/0004-2749.20190028] [Medline: 30726407]
- 27. McHugh ML. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. Biochem Med. 2012:276-282. [doi: 10.11613/bm.2012.031]
- 28. Cohen J. Weighted kappa: nominal scale agreement with provision for scaled disagreement or partial credit. Psychol Bull. Oct 1968;70(4):213-220. [doi: 10.1037/h0026256] [Medline: 19673146]
- Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, Savović J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ. Oct 12, 2016;355:i4919. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmj.i4919] [Medline: 27733354]
- Higgins JP, Sterne JA, Savović J, Page MJ, Hróbjartsson A, Boutron I, et al. A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized trials. In: Chandler J, McKenzie J, Boutron I, Welch V, editors. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. Hoboken, NJ. John Wiley & Sons; 2016.
- Andrews J, Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Alderson P, Dahm P, Falck-Ytter Y, et al. GRADE guidelines: 14. Going from evidence to recommendations: the significance and presentation of recommendations. J Clin Epidemiol. Jul 2013;66(7):719-725. [doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.03.013] [Medline: 23312392]
- 32. Balshem H, Helfand M, Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence. J Clin Epidemiol. Apr 2011;64(4):401-406. [doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.07.015] [Medline: 21208779]
- Deeks JJ, Higgins PT, Altman DG. Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins JP, Green S, editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions: Cochrane Book Series. London, UK. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2022.
- Huedo-Medina TB, Sánchez-Meca J, Marín-Martínez F, Botella J. Assessing heterogeneity in meta-analysis: Q statistic or I² index? Psychol Methods. 2006;11(2):193-206. [doi: <u>10.1037/1082-989x.11.2.193</u>]
- 35. Baujat B, Mahé C, Pignon JP, Hill C. A graphical method for exploring heterogeneity in meta-analyses: application to a meta-analysis of 65 trials. Stat Med. Sep 30, 2002;21(18):2641-2652. [doi: <u>10.1002/sim.1221</u>] [Medline: <u>12228882</u>]
- 36. R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. The R Foundation. 2021. URL: <u>https://www.r-project.org/</u> [accessed 2023-12-11]
- 37. Viechtbauer W. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. J Stat Softw. Aug 2010;36(3):1-48. [FREE Full text]
- 38. Harrer M, Cuijpers P, Furukawa T, Ebert D. Doing Meta-Analysis with R: A Hands-On Guide. London, UK. Chapman & Hall; 2012.
- Wolkowitz OM, Mellon SH, Epel ES, Lin J, Dhabhar FS, Su Y, et al. Leukocyte telomere length in major depression: correlations with chronicity, inflammation and oxidative stress--preliminary findings. PLoS One. Mar 23, 2011;6(3):e17837.
 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017837] [Medline: 21448457]
- 40. Xu Y, Xu J, Chancoco H, Huang M, Torres KE, Gu J. Long leukocyte telomere length is associated with increased risks of soft tissue sarcoma: a mendelian randomization study. Cancers (Basel). Mar 05, 2020;12(3):594. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/cancers12030594] [Medline: 32150919]
- 41. Pousa PA, Souza RM, Melo PH, Correa BH, Mendonça TS, Simões-E-Silva AC, et al. Telomere shortening and psychiatric disorders: a systematic review. Cells. Jun 07, 2021;10(6):1423. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/cells10061423] [Medline: 34200513]

- 42. Cheng F, Luk AO, Shi M, Huang C, Jiang G, Yang A, et al. Shortened leukocyte telomere length is associated with glycemic progression in type 2 diabetes: a prospective and mendelian randomization analysis. Diabetes Care. Mar 01, 2022;45(3):701-709. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2337/dc21-1609] [Medline: 35085380]
- 43. Saltin B, Blomqvist G, Mitchell JH, Johnson RL, Wildenthal K, Chapman CB. Response to exercise after bed rest and after training. Am Heart J. Sep 1969;78(3):430. [doi: 10.1016/0002-8703(69)90058-1]
- 44. Weston M, Taylor KL, Batterham AM, Hopkins WG. Effects of low-volume high-intensity interval training (HIT) on fitness in adults: a meta-analysis of controlled and non-controlled trials. Sports Med. Jul 2014;44(7):1005-1017. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s40279-014-0180-z] [Medline: 24743927]
- 45. Elliott AD, Rajopadhyaya K, Bentley DJ, Beltrame JF, Aromataris EC. Interval training versus continuous exercise in patients with coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. Heart Lung Circ. Feb 2015;24(2):149-157. [doi: 10.1016/j.hlc.2014.09.001] [Medline: 25306500]
- 46. Pingitore A, Lima GP, Mastorci F, Quinones A, Iervasi G, Vassalle C. Exercise and oxidative stress: potential effects of antioxidant dietary strategies in sports. Nutrition. Jul 2015;31(7-8):916-922. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.nut.2015.02.005</u>] [Medline: <u>26059364</u>]
- 47. Ludlow AT, Zimmerman B, Witkowski S, Hearn JW, Hatfield BD, Roth M. Relationship between physical activity level, telomere length, and telomerase activity. Med Sci Sports Exerc. Oct 2008;40(10):1764-1771. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31817c92aa] [Medline: 18799986]
- 48. Savela S, Saijonmaa O, Strandberg TE, Koistinen P, Strandberg AY, Tilvis RS, et al. Physical activity in midlife and telomere length measured in old age. Exp Gerontol. Jan 2013;48(1):81-84. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.exger.2012.02.003</u>] [Medline: <u>22386580</u>]
- 49. Arazi H, Eghbali E, Suzuki K. Creatine supplementation, physical exercise and oxidative stress markers: a review of the mechanisms and effectiveness. Nutrients. Mar 06, 2021;13(3):869. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/nu13030869] [Medline: 33800880]

Abbreviations

GRADE: Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation
HIIT: high-intensity interval training
MD: mean difference
NRSI: nonrandomized studies of interventions
RCT: randomized controlled trial
RoB2: risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials
ROBINS-I: Risk Of Bias In Nonrandomized Studies of Interventions

Edited by A Mavragani, T Sanchez; submitted 26.01.23; peer-reviewed by P Heyn, SQ Yoong; comments to author 29.06.23; revised version received 03.07.23; accepted 24.10.23; published 09.01.24

Please cite as:

Sánchez-González JL, Sánchez-Rodríguez JL, Varela-Rodríguez S, González-Sarmiento R, Rivera-Picón C, Juárez-Vela R, Tejada-Garrido CI, Martín-Vallejo J, Navarro-López V Effects of Physical Exercise on Telomere Length in Healthy Adults: Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Meta-Regression JMIR Public Health Surveill 2024;10:e46019 URL: https://publichealth.jmir.org/2024/1/e46019 doi: 10.2196/46019 PMID: <u>38194261</u>

©Juan Luis Sánchez-González, Juan Luis Sánchez-Rodríguez, Sergio Varela-Rodríguez, Rogelio González-Sarmiento, Cristina Rivera-Picón, Raúl Juárez-Vela, Clara Isabel Tejada-Garrido, Javier Martín-Vallejo, Víctor Navarro-López. Originally published in JMIR Public Health and Surveillance (https://publichealth.jmir.org), 09.01.2024. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Public Health and Surveillance, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://publichealth.jmir.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.